UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,709	02/01/2006	Michel Monnerat	Q92617	9002
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 09/10/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			LIU, HARRY K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/566,7	709	MONNERAT ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
HARRY LIU		3662	
	***	-	_

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 6 July 2009.
 Improper Request – The R reason(s): 	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the not	t Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been er appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ef in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-38, 44-4 Claim(s) withdrawn from continuous	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of tion on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office ction is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>HARRY LIU /H.L/</u> .	(3) <u>Thomas Tarcza /THT/</u> .
(2) Marc Jimenez /MJ/.	(4)
/H. L/ Examiner, Art Unit 3662	/Thomas H. Tarcza/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3662